



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 05.11.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.9525 of 2018

S.Joshep

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,  
Kanyakumari District.

2.The Inspector of Police,  
Kanyakumari Police Station,  
Kanyakumari District.

.. Respondents/Complainants

3.Sounder Rajan,

4.Varkis

5.Oovariyan Jeorge @ Jeorge

6.Jeorge

7.Sekar @ Auto Sekar

8.Raja

9.Kishore

10.Prabu

11.Siluvai

12.Palasis

13.Thilak

14.Manoj

15.Raknesh

16.Vincent

17.Jonas Iyansingh

18.Leon @ Pattu



20.Rethinam

21.Prasanth

22.George @ Kavutta George

23.Antony

24.Alwin

25.Denson @ Dennison

26.Karol

27.Anthony

28.Santhi Rayappan

29.Mathiyazhagan

30.Thinesh

31.Alish

32.Keni

33.Ranjan

34.Peeter

35.John

36.Karan

37.Rukku @ Arulanantham

38.Prabu

39.Sangeetham

40.Mathan

41.Edmend

42.Doni

43.Santhiyagu

44.Micheal



45.Rajan

46.Sanjay

47.Johnson

48.Arjun

49.Kingsili

50.Sumathi

51.Pungavanam

52.Menaka

53.Rani

... Respondents/Defacto  
Complainants

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to direct the second respondent to give adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner on the basis of his complaint to the respondents 1 & 2 dated 15.05.2018.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Venkatesan  
For R-1 & R-2 : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi  
Government Advocate( Crl.Side)

For R-3 to R-23,  
R-25 to R-48  
R-50 to R-53 : Mr.K.Vamanan

**ORDER**

This petition has been filed to direct the second respondent to give adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner on the basis of his complaint to the respondents 1 & 2 dated 15.05.2018.

2.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that on the complaint lodged by the petitioner as well as the respondents 3 to 53, the second respondent registered a case in both complaints in Crime No.117 of 2018 and Crime No.118 of 2018. After completion of investigation, they have filed final report in both crime numbers and the same have been taken cognizance before the concerned



jurisdictional Magistrate.

3. In view of the above, at this stage, the prayer sought for by the petitioner cannot be considered. Hence, this petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh complaint before the second respondent.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS III)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

dss

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,  
Kanyakumari District.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
Kanyakumari Police Station,  
Kanyakumari District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.S.RAMASAMY, Advocate ( SR-96506[F] dated 06/11/2019 )

CrI.O.P. (MD) No.9525 of 2018  
05.11.2019

KK/SAR/18.11.2019/4P-5C/