



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 08.08.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P. (MD) No.4840 of 2014

and

M.P. (MD) No.1 of 2014

P.Shanmuga Sundari

... Petitioner

-Vs-

Teachers's Recruitment Board,
Represented by its Member Secretary,
4th Floor, EVK Sampath Maligai,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai-600 006.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned proceeding Vide Rc.No.806/A6/LC/2013 dated 04.03.2014 issued by the respondent and quash the same and consequently, directing the respondent to appoint the petitioner as PG Assistant (Economics) by considering the petitioner (Reg.13PG08100480) application for the post of Post Graduate Assistant-2013 under the Tamil medium category.


For Petitioner : Mr.S.Anwar Sameem
For Respondent : Mr.V.R.Shanmuganathan,
Special Government Pleader.

ORDER

The order of rejection dated 04.03.2014, rejecting the claim of the writ petitioner for appointment to the post of P.G. Assistant (Economics), is under challenge in the present Writ Petition.

2.The writ petitioner submitted an application for recruitment to the post of P.G. Assistant (Economics) and she was not selected on account of the fact that the writ petitioner cannot be granted with the benefit of PSTM priority quota. The writ petitioner studied undergraduate degree in English medium and further, even in the application, she had not mentioned to avail PSTM quota.

3.In view of the fact that the writ petitioner is not eligible to get the benefit of PSTM, the order of rejection passed by the respondent is in consonance with the terms and conditions as



well as the recruitment rules in force. The quota or priority is to be provided strictly in accordance with the terms and conditions notified. The PSTM quota is to be granted only if the candidates have studied all the eligible qualification in Tamil and not otherwise.

WEB COPY

4. This being the factual position, this Court is of an opinion that the writ petitioner was unsuccessful in the process of selection. The PSTM quota cannot be granted, as the writ petitioner did her undergraduate degree in English medium.

5. Accordingly, this Writ Petition deserves no merit consideration and the same stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (T & P)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

Myr

To
The Member Secretary,
Teachers' Recruitment Board,
4th Floor, EVK Sampath Maligai,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai-600 006.

+1CC TO MR. T. ANTONY ARUL RAJ, Advocate Sr. No. 80839

W.P. (MD) No. 4840 of 2014
08.08.2019

TNSR (CO)
TR (21.08.2019) 2P 3C