



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 04.12.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.S. SUNDAR

Cont P.(MD).No.1436 of 2019

K.Sulochana

.. Petitioner/Petitioner

Vs.

Mr.Suresh
Tahsildar,
Thirumayam Taluk,
Pudukkottai District.

.. Contemnor/Respondent

Prayer: Contempt petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, to punish the respondents for wilful, deliberate disobedience to the order of this Court dated 28.01.2019 passed in W.P. (MD) .No.1546 of 2019.

Prayer in WP(MD) . 1546 of 2019 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent to transfer the patta in favour of the petitioner and his minor son in respect of the property in Survey No.177/4 with an extent of 0.10.0 Hectares in Keelapanaiyur Village, Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District by considering the petitioners representation dated 10/01/2019.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Ballasundharam
For Respondent : Mr.A.K.Baskara Pandian
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

A direction of this Court was only to consider the petitioner's online application, dated 04.05.2018 and the representation dated 10.01.2019.

2.In the representation, made by the petitioner, he wanted mutation of Revenue records on the basis of the gift deed alleged to have been executed by his father-in-law in favour of her husband. Pursuant to the order passed by this Court, it appears that the respondent has considered the representation and issued patta including the name of petitioner's husband along with the name of petitioner's father-in-law. Though the petitioner claims exclusive title to the property, on the basis of the settlement deed alleged



to have been executed by the father-in-law in favour of her husband, the petitioner can agitate her right in the manner known to law as this Court has no reason to hold that the respondent has granted patta in favour of others in disobedience of the order passed by this Court.

3.As a result, recording compliance, this contempt petition is closed. However, liberty is given to the respondent to seek remedy in the manner known to law, if she is aggrieved by the order including the name of petitioner's father-in-law.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Crl Side)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TM

To

The Tahsildar, Thirumayam Taluk, Pudukkottai District.

+1 CC to M/s.SPL GP (SR-103559[F] dated 05/12/2019)

Cont P.(MD).No.1436 of 2019

04.12.2019

_KK/SAR/26.12.2019/2P-3C/