



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 19.08.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P. (MD) .Nos.4448 and 5045 of 2014

and

M.P(MD) No.1 of 2014

in

W.P. (MD) .No.4448 of 2014

G.Chitharthan

... Petitioner  
in both petitions

-Vs-

TANGEDCO,  
Rep. by its Executive Engineer,  
Office of the Executive Engineer,  
Distribution Nagercoil,  
Kanyakumari District.

... Respondent  
in W.P. (MD)No.4448 of 2014

TANGEDCO,  
Rep. by its Chief Engineer,  
Office of the Chief Engineer,  
Tirunelveli Region,  
Maharajanagar Post,  
Tirunelveli District.

... Respondent  
in W.P. (MD)No.5045 of 2014

**Prayer in W.P. (MD)No.4448 of 2014:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records relating to the impugned notice bearing memo No.776/Adm/A.dm. Supervisor/F.con/ 2014, dated 05.02.2014 issued by the respondent and quash the same.

**Prayer in W.P. (MD)No.5045 of 2014:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the impugned order bearing Memo No.000941/064/Adm/C1/2014, dated 10.02.2014 issued by the respondent and quash the same and consequently directing the respondent to transfer the petitioner to Nagercoil Region, Kanyakumari District.



For Petitioner  
(in both petitions) : Mr.T.Antony Arul Raj

For Respondents  
(in both petitions) : Mr.A.U.Ramanathan

WEB COPY

**COMMON ORDER**

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the writ petitioner made a submission that W.P.(MD)No.4448 of 2014 was filed challenging the charge memo, dated 05.02.2014. The charge memo against the petitioner was that he had not joined in the transfer place and he has filed a writ petition challenging the transfer order in respect of the order of transfer, the writ petitioner filed W.P.(MD)No.5045 of 2014, challenging the order of transfer.

2.In view of the fact that the writ petitioner attained the age of superannuation and retired from service on 31.07.2019, no further action would be taken in respect of the charge memo and in respect of the impugned transfer order issued, which is under challenge in W.P.(MD)No.5045 of 2014 and the same became infructuous.

3.Thus, both the writ petitions deserves no further adjudication and accordingly, these writ petitions stand closed. No costs. Consequently connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

+2 CC to M/s.T. ANTONY ARUL RAJ, Advocate SR-82318 & 82319

W.P.(MD).Nos.4448 and 5045 of 2014  
19.08.2019

sjj  
JMN(29.08.2019) 2P : 3C