



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **20.09.2019**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE M. SUNDAR**

WEB COPY

W.P(MD)No.20282 of 2019

M.Amirthavalli

... Petitioner

/Vs./

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Paramakudi Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

2.The Thasildar,
Office of the Tashildar,
Paramakudi Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents to conduct detailed enquiry and take necessary action to rectify the mistake in the computer patta in Patta No.2261 in accordance with law on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 23.07.2018.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.Sabeer Mohamed
For Respondents : Mr.M.Pandiarajan
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

Mr.J.Sabeer Mohamed, learned counsel on record for writ petitioner is before this Court.

2. Mr.M.Pandiarajan, learned Additional Government Pleader, accepts notice on behalf of both the respondents.

3. With consent of learned counsel on both sides, main writ petition is taken up, heard out and is being disposed of.

4. The main writ petition turns on a very narrow compass, as it is one seeking mandamus qua disposal of writ petitioner's representation dated 23.07.2018 wherein writ petitioner has sought to make computer entry regarding patta pursuant to order of jurisdictional Thasildar qua land which according to writ petitioner belongs to her.



5. Notwithstanding several averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, notwithstanding several grounds raised / contentions urged in the affidavit filed in support of instant writ petition, learned counsel for writ petitioner abridges the scope of the writ petition and submits that it will suffice if the first respondent is directed to dispose of the aforementioned representation of the writ petitioner, dated 23.07.2018, details of which have been alluded to supra.

6. Learned State counsel submitted that the first respondent is the authority who shall consider the aforementioned representation dated 23.07.2018 made by the writ petitioner (page Nos.13 & 14 of the typed set of papers forming part of the case file).

7. The aforesaid representation dated 23.07.2018 shall be disposed of by the first respondent on its own merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and in any event, within a period of eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. It is made clear that in the course of the representation being considered by the first respondent, if the rights of any other third party / parties or any other third party entity / entities is / are likely to be affected, the first respondent shall give reasonable opportunity to such third party / parties or any other third party entity / entities, before disposing of the aforementioned writ petitioner's representation. Though obvious, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion or view on the merits of the matter.

9. The proceeding / order of disposal shall be communicated by the office of the first respondent to the writ petitioner under due acknowledgement within seven (7) working days from the completion of aforesaid exercise.

10. Instant Writ Petition is disposed of with the above directions. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-III)


/TRUE COPY/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Office of the Revenue Divisional Officer,
Paramakudi Taluk,
Paramakudi Taluk District.

<https://hcservices.paramakudi.nic.in>



2.The Thasildar,
Office of the Tashildar,
Paramakudi Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

+1 CC to M/s.I.SABEER MOHAMED, Advocate (SR-88025[F] dated
20/09/2019)

Order made in
W.P (MD) No.20282 of 2019

Dated:
20.09.2019

sm
JM/11.10.2019/3P/4C