



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 25.09.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.13448 of 2019

WEB COPY

1.V.Thillai Natarajan
2.T.Nisanth : Petitioners 1 & 2/Defacto Complainant

-Vs-

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Thanjavur District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Town East Police Station,
Thanjavur District.

: Respondents 1 & 2

3.Selvam.

: 3rd Respondent/Accused

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, to direct the second respondent police not to harass or ill-treat the petitioner, without following the due process of law.

For Petitioners	: Mr.C.Jeganathan for M/s.Veera Associates
For Respondents 1 and 2	: Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi Government Advocate(crl.side)

O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to direct the second respondent police not to harass or ill-treat the petitioner, without following the due process of law.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the first petitioner is the father of the second petitioner. The third respondent made a phone call to the second petitioner informing that he had kidnapped the first petitioner with the help of his henchmen and asked him to come with Rs.8,00,000/- ransom amount to rescue his father. Due to which, the first petitioner lodged a complaint before the second respondent. Now the second respondent without even registering the case on the complaint lodged by the petitioner compelled the petitioner to withdraw the complaint. Hence, the present petition has been filed not to harass the petitioner.



3. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submits that on the complaint given by the defacto complainants against the petitioner, petition enquiry is pending on the file of the respondent police

4. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) for the respondent police.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police has been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a)While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b)The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c)The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from <https://hcservices.mca.gov.in/hcservices> called upon for enquiry/investigation.



d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]** shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AD-I)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Vsg

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Thanjavur District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Town East Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.VEERA ASSOCIATES, Advocate SR-89570.

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.13448 of 2019

25.09.2019

CS (21.10.2019) 3P 5C