



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: **16.10.2019**
CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.13823 of 2019

and

Crl.M.P. (MD)No.8431 of 2019

and

Crl.M.P. (MD)No.8433 of 2019

Venkatesh
rep. By through his power agent
and his mother Baby Ilango
W/o.Ilango Athinamillagi

...Petitioner / Respondent No.1

-Vs-

K.Sinduja

... Respondent / Petitioner

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for records relating to D.V.C.No.27 of 2018 on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Trichy and quash the same as against this petitioner.

For Petitioner	: Mr.S.Gokul Raj
For Respondent	: Mrs.J.Anandavalli

O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the proceedings in D.V.C.No.27 of 2018 on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Trichy as against the petitioner.

2. The petitioner is the husband of the respondent and the marriage between the petitioner / Venkatesh and the respondent viz., Sinduja was solemnized on 09.12.2016. Thereafter, due to matrimonial disputes, the petitioner and his wife were living separately from the matrimonial home. Under this circumstance, the respondent herein filed a petition under Sections 12 of Domestic Violence Act, in D.V.C.No.27 of 2018, on the file of the learned Additional Mahila court, Trichy. The said D.V.C.No.27 of 2018 is pending for trial. At this stage, the petitioner herein, who is the husband of the respondent, prayed to quash the proceedings in D.V.C.No.27 of 2018.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent.



3. The Hon'ble Apex Court in the Judgment in Crl.A.No.579 of 2019 dated 02.04.2019 in the case of **Devendra Prasad Singh Vs. State of Bihar & Anr.**, as follows:-

" 12. So far as the second ground is concerned, we are of the view that the High Court while hearing the application under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. had no jurisdiction to appreciate the statement of the witnesses and record a finding that there were inconsistencies in their statements and, therefore, there was no prima facie case made out against respondent No.2. In our view, this could be done only in the trial while deciding the issues on the merits or/and by the Appellate Court while deciding the appeal arising out of the final order passed by the Trial Court but not in Section 482 Cr.P.C. proceedings.

13. In view of the foregoing discussion, we allow the appeal, set aside the impugned order and restore the aforementioned complaint case to its original file for being proceeded with on merits in accordance with law.

4. In view of the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the above referred Judgment, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. Since the impugned proceedings in D.V.C.No.27 of 2018, is pending from the year of 2018, it would be appropriate to direct the trial Court to complete the trial within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The petitioner / husband of the respondent is directed to appear before the trial Court on the next hearing date, failing which, the respondent is at liberty to approach this Court.

5. In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

To

1. The Additional Mahila Court,
Trichy.



2. The Section Officer,
Criminal Section, (2 copies)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.J.ANANADHAVALLI, Advocate (SR-92349[F] dated 17/10/2019)

+1 CC to Mr.S.GOKULRAJ, Advocate (SR-92659[F] dated 17/10/2019)

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.13823 of 2019

and

Crl.M.P. (MD)No.8431 of 2019

16.10.2019

(2/2)

vsd

MK (06.11.2019) 3P 6C