



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **13.09.2019**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE M.SUNDAR**

W.P(MD)No.19705 of 2019

Veera.Karthikeyan

... Petitioner

/Vs./

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Aranthangi,
Pudukkottai District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Aranthangi,
Pudukkottai District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 1st respondent to dispose the petitioner's representation dated 14.6.2019.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Thiruvarutselvan

For Respondents : Mr.K.Mu.Muthu

Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

Mr.G.Thiruvarutselvan, learned counsel on record for writ petitioner is before this Court.

2. Mr.K.Mu.Muthu, learned Additional Government Pleader, accepts notice on behalf of both the respondents.

3. With consent of learned counsel on both sides, main writ petition is taken up, heard out and is being disposed of.

4. The main writ petition turns on a very narrow compass, as it is one seeking mandamus qua disposal of writ petitioner's representation dated 14.06.2019 wherein writ petitioner has requested to rescind change of entries in patta in lands which according to writ petitioner, are his ancestral lands.

5. Notwithstanding several averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, notwithstanding several grounds raised / contentions urged in the affidavit filed in support of instant writ petition, learned counsel for writ petitioner abridges the scope of the writ petition and submits that it will suffice if the second respondent is directed to dispose of the aforementioned representation of the writ



petitioner, dated 14.06.2019, details of which have been alluded to supra.

6. Though first respondent has forwarded (vide proceedings dated 26.06.2019 bearing reference No.Na.Ka.1675/2019/A6) aforesaid representation dated 14.06.2019 to the second respondent and sought for a report, learned State counsel submitted that the second respondent is the authority who shall consider the aforementioned representation dated 14.06.2019 made by the writ petitioner (page Nos.4 and 5 of the typed set of papers forming part of the case file).

7. The aforesaid representation dated 14.06.2019 shall be disposed of by the second respondent on its own merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and in any event, within a period of eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. It is made clear that in the course of the representation being considered by the second respondent, if the rights of any other thirds party / parties or any other third party entity / entities is / are likely to be affected, the second respondent shall give reasonable opportunity to such third party / parties or any other third party entity / entities, before disposing of the aforementioned writ petitioner's representation. Though obvious, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion or view on the merits of the matter.

9. The proceeding / order of disposal shall be communicated by the office of the second respondent to the writ petitioner under due acknowledgement within seven (7) working days from the completion of aforesaid exercise.

10. Instant Writ Petition is disposed of with the above directions. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

sm



To

1.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Aranthangi,
Pudukkottai District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Aranthangi,
Pudukkottai District.

+1 CC to M/s.S.M. SANJAY, Advocate (SR-86972[F] dated
17/09/2019)

+1 CC to M/s.SPL GP (SR-86905[F] dated 16/09/2019)

Order made in
W.P (MD) No.19705 of 2019
Dated:
13.09.2019

_KM/(27.09.2019) 3P 5C