



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.09.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.SUNDAR

WEB COPY

W.P(MD)No.19643 of 2019

G.Shajakhan

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.

2.The District Revenue Officer,
O/o.The District Revenue Office,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.

3.The Tahsildar,
O/o.The Tahsildar Office,
Keelakarai,
Ramanathapuram District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the second respondent to dispose the appeal petition dated 19.12.2014 preferred by the petitioner for mutation of patta by considering the petitioner's representations dated 28.01.2019 and 17.08.2019 within the period stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Nawaz Khan
for M/s.Ajmal Associates

For Respondents : Mr.B.Bhagawathi,
Government Advocate.

ORDER

Mr.A.Nawaz Khan, learned counsel on record for writ petitioner is before this Court.

2. Mr.B.Bhagawathi, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of all three respondents.

3. With consent of learned counsel on both sides, main writ petition is taken up, heard out and is being disposed of.



4. The main writ petition turns on a very narrow compass, as it is one seeking mandamus qua disposal of writ petitioner's representation dated 17.08.2019 wherein seeking mutation of patta for land, which according to writ petitioner belongs to him.

5. Notwithstanding several averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, notwithstanding several grounds raised / contentions urged in the affidavit filed in support of instant writ petition, learned counsel for writ petitioner abridges the scope of the writ petition and submits that it will suffice if the second respondent is directed to dispose of the aforementioned representation of the writ petitioner, dated 17.08.2019 on its own merits and in accordance with law.

6. Learned State counsel submitted that the second respondent is the authority who shall consider the aforementioned representation dated 17.08.2019 made by the writ petitioner (page No.9 of the typed set of papers forming part of the case file).

7. It is made clear that in the course of the representation being considered by the second respondent, if the rights of any other third party / parties or any other third party entity / entities is / are likely to be affected, the second respondent shall give reasonable opportunity to such third party / parties or any other third party entity / entities, before disposing of the aforementioned writ petitioner's representation. Though obvious, it is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion or view on the merits of the matter.

8. The aforesaid representation dated 17.08.2019 shall be disposed of by the second respondent on its own merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and in any event, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

9. The proceeding / order of disposal shall be communicated by the office of the second respondent to the writ petitioner under due acknowledgement within seven working days from the completion of aforesaid exercise.


10. Instant Writ Petition is disposed of with the above directions. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(Crl. Side)

/TRUE COPY/

Sub Assistant Registrar



To

1.The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.

2.The District Revenue Officer,
O/o.The District Revenue Office,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.

3.The Tahsildar,
O/o.The Tahsildar Office,
Keelakarai,
Ramanathapuram District.

+1 CC to M/s.GP (SR-86556[F] dated 13/09/2019)

+1 CC to M/s.AJMAL ASSOCIATES, Advocate (SR-86660[F] dated
13/09/2019)

W.P (MD) No.19643 of 2019
12.09.2019

ps

JM/26.09.2019/3P/6C