



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 05.09.2019

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

W.P (MD).No. 19186 of 2019

Malliga

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector
O/o. The District Collector
Pudukottai, Pudukottai District
2. The Superintendent of Police
O/o.The Superintendent of Police
Pudukottai District
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer
O/o. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Pudukottai District
4. The Tahsildhar
Ponnamaravathy Taluk
Pudukottai District
- 5.The Special Tahsildhar
O/o.Aadi Dravidar Welfare, Pudukottai
Pudukottai District
6. The Inspector of Police
Ponnamaravathy Police Station
Pudukottai District

...Respondents

Prayer : This petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents dated 21.07.2019, 22.08.2019 and 28.08.2019 and consequently direct the respondents to take action against the persons restraining us and enable our free movement enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Senthilkumar

For Respondents : Mr.R.Anandharaj
Additional Public Prosecutor



ORDER

This Petition has been filed for issuance of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents dated 21.07.2019, 22.08.2019 and 28.08.2019 and consequently direct the respondents to take action against the persons restraining them and enable their free movement enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner's son got married with one Ramya who belongs to some other community and the village people got enmity due to the inter-caste marriage. There was frequent quarrel with the entire villagers and they have fenced the entire house of the petitioner in and around the petitioner's house and they are unable to come out of the house. Therefore she lodged the complaint before the sixth respondent police. On the complaint lodged by the petitioner sixth respondent conducted enquiry in which both the parties agreed to approach the concerned civil court for appropriate relief.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that now the fourth respondent, Tahsildhar, Ponnamaravathy Taluk has removed the entire fencing put up the villagers in and around the petitioner's house on 29.08.2019 itself.

4. Recording the aforesaid submissions made on either side, this Writ Petition is closed. No costs. However petitioner is at liberty to approach the concerned civil court for appropriate relief for the other issues.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Writs)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

aav

To

1. The District Collector
O/o. The District Collector
Pudukottai, Pudukottai District
2. The Superintendent of Police
O/o. The Superintendent of Police
Pudukottai District



3. The Revenue Divisional Officer
O/o. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Pudukottai District
4. The Tahsildhar
Ponnamaravathy Taluk
Pudukottai District
5. The Special Tahsildhar
O/o. Aadi Dravidar Welfare, Pudukottai
Pudukottai District
6. The Inspector of Police
Ponnamaravathy Police Station
Pudukottai District

W.P (MD) .No.19186 of 2019
05.09.2019

JMN(26.09.2019) 3P : 7C