



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **11.11.2019**

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.S.SIVAGNANAM
AND
THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE R.THARANI**

W.P(MD)NO.17301 OF 2018

A.Paulraj :Petitioner

.VS.

1.The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.

2.The Sub-Collector,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

3.The Tahsildar,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

4.Soundarammal. : Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 3 to remove the encroachment made by the fourth respondent in the public street in Keelakudierruppu village which fell in Natham Survey No.490, Paramakudi Taluk, Ramanathapuram District within the time stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner	:Mr.K.Sudalaiyandi
For Respondents	:Mr.A.Muthukaruppan
1 to 3	Addl.Govt.Pleader
For Respondent-4	:Ms.Kaviya
	for Mr.N.Sekar

O R D E R

[Order of the Court was made by **T.S.SIVAGNANAM.,J.**]

We have heard Mr.K.Sudalaiyandi, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner, Mr.A.Muthukaruppan, learned Additional Government



Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 and Ms.Kaviya, learned counsel representing Mr.N.Sekar, learned counsel appearing for the fourth respondent.

2.This Writ Petition is directed against the official respondents, more particularly, respondents 1 to 3 to remove the alleged encroachment made by the fourth respondent in a public road.

3.Ms.Kaviya, learned counsel representing the learned counsel for the fourth respondent submitted that the fourth respondent is not an encroacher and he has got all documents in respect of S.No.491/1, whereas, the Petitioner seeks for removal of encroachment made in S.No.490. In any event, the Sub-Collector, Paramakudi, Ramanathapuram District directed the Tahsildar, Parakakudi, Ramanathapuram District to look into the grievance expressed by the Petitioner in his representation as early as on 13.6.2018. Therefore by now, the Tahsildar should have take further action and sent appropriate reply to the second respondent. It appears that till date the third respondent has not sent any reply to the second respondent.

4.Therefore, we direct the third respondent to take note of the proceedings of the second respondent, dated 13.6.2018 and take appropriate action in accordance with law, after issuing notice to the Petitioner, as expeditiously as possible.

5.With the above directions, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar ()

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

vsn

To

1.The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.

2.The Sub-Collector,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.



3.The Tahsildar,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

+1 CC to Mr.K.SUDALAIYANDI, Advocate(SR-97298[F] dated 11/11/2019)

ORDER MADE IN

W.P (MD) NO.17301 OF 2018

11.11.2019

VB(25.11.2019) 3P 5C