



WEB COPY

CRL OP(MD). No.11655 of 2019

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21/08/2019

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.RAJAMANICKAM

CRL OP(MD). No.11655 of 2019

1.Murugesan
2.Manikandan
3.Babu

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 3

Vs

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Ammapettai Police Station,
Thanjavur District.
Crime No. 274/2019.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.G.Karnan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.V.Neelakandan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No. 274 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

This petition has been filed by the petitioners/ A1 to A3 seeking bail for the alleged offence punishable under Section 379 of IPC and 21(4) of Mines and Minerals Act, in Cr.No.274 of 2019.

2.Heard both sides.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in the above case. He further submitted that as per the FIR, the petitioners have illegally transported two units of river sand by using vehicle. He further submitted that the petitioners

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



were arrested by the respondent police on 27.07.2019 and from that day onwards, they are in custody and hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police has submitted that the petitioners have illegally transported two units of river sand without any valid permission, and hence, he strongly opposed this petition. However, he fairly conceded that no previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the fact that no previous case is pending against the petitioners also the fact that the petitioner is in custody from 27.07.2019, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners by imposing conditions.

[a] the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Papanasam.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., for a period of one month and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation;

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
21/08/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S-II)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1. THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PAPANASAM.

2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT AT KUMBAKONAM.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
AMMAPETTAI POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

4. THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, TRICHY.

5. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr.G.KARNAN Advocate SR.No.13823

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.11655 of 2019

Date : 21/08/2019

TK/VR/SAR.2/21.08.2019/3P/7C