



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 06/08/2019

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.RAJAMANICKAM

CRL OP(MD). No.11069 of 2019

G.Ramar

... Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Vasudevanallur Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
Crime No. 200 of 2019.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.T.Senthil Kumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.V.Neelakandan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.439 of Cr.P.C.

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Crime No. 200 of 2019 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

Memo filed by the petitioner is hereby recorded. This petition has been filed by the petitioner/ accused No.2 seeking bail for the alleged offence punishable under Section 379 (Sand Theft).

2.Heard both sides.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in the above case. He further submitted that the petitioner was arrested by the respondent police on 05.07.2019 and from that date onwards, he is in custody and hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.



4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police has submitted that totally two accused persons involved in this case. The petitioner along with other accused persons have illegally transported 3/4 unit of river sand. He further submitted that the petitioner is having one previous case in similar nature and other four cases, and hence, he strongly opposed this petition.

5.Consideration the fact that the petitioner is in custody from 05.07.2019 and in the previous cases, he has already on bail and also the fact that the quantity of sand said to have been illegally transported is $\frac{3}{4}$ unit, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner by imposing conditions.

[a] the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sivagiri.

[b]the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00.a.m for a period of one month and thereafter, as and when required for the interrogation.

[c]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[d]the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
06/08/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SIVAGIRI.

2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VASUDEVANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4. THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, PALAYAMKOTTAI.

5. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr. T. SENTHIL KUMAR Advocate SR.No.12930

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.11069 of 2019

Date : 06/08/2019

dss

TK/VR/SAR.1/06.08.2019/3P/7C