



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29/07/2019

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.RAJAMANICKAM
CRL OP(MD). No.10673 of 2019

WEB COPY

P.Senthilkumar, ... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

State rep by
The Inspector of Poilce,
A.Mukkulam Police Station,
Virudhunagar District,
(Crime No.43 of 2019).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.B.Senthil Kumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Ms.M.Ananthadevi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

for Anticipatory bail in Crime No.43 of 2019 on the file of the
respondent police

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294
(b), 324, and 506 (ii) of IPC, in Crime No.43 of 2019, seeks
anticipatory bail.

2. Heard both sides.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has
submitted that the even as per the FIR, due to wordy quarrel the
petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant with Iron rod and
criminally intimidated him. He further submitted that the injured
sustained only simple injury and already he was discharged from the
hospital and therefore, he prayed anticipatory bail to the
petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the
respondent has submitted that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioner
assaulted the defacto complainant with Iron rod and criminally
intimidated him and hence, she opposed this petition. However, she
fairly conceded that the injured sustained only simple injury and he



was already discharged from the hospital.

5. Taking into consideration the fact that the injured person sustained only simple injuries and he was also discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aruppukottai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) If the petitioner fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled.

(ii) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required before the respondent police for interrogation.

(iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iv) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(v) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

(vi) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
29/07/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
ARUPPUKOTTAI

2 DO-THROUGH :
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VIRUTHUNAGAR DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POILCE
A.MUKKULAM POLICE STATION,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.B.SENTHIL KUMAR Advocate SR.No.12655

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10673 of 2019
Date :29/07/2019

KM/JC/SAR-III (06.08.2019) 3P 6C