



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29/07/2019

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P. RAJAMANICKAM

CRL OP (MD) No.10401 of 2019

Ranjeet Raja Singh Uthamasingh

... Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Kulasekarapattinam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.

(Crime No.160 of 2019). ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.G.Pradeep Dhinakaran,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mrs.M.Anantha Devi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-


For Anticipatory bail in Crime No.160 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 379 of IPC in Crime No.160 of 2019, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. Heard both sides.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in the above case. He further submitted that only after getting valid permit from the Tahsildar, the petitioner and other accused persons have taken sand, but the respondent police has registered a false case against the petitioner. The petitioner is not having any bad antecedent and hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent has fairly conceded that only after getting valid permit from the Tahsildar, the petitioner and other accused persons have transported sand. She further submitted that no similar type of case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration of the fact that no similar type of case is pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Trichendur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled.

(ii) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required before the respondent police for interrogation.

(iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iv) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(v) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

(vi) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

Sd/-
29/07/2019

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TRICHENDUR.

2 -DO-THRO-THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KULASEKARAPATTINAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1CC to M/s.G.PRADEEP.DHINAKARAN, Advocate
(SR-12597[I]dated 31/07/2019)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.10401 of 2019

Date :29/07/2019

VSG

ES/PN/SAR 4/01.08.2019/3P/6C