



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **14.10.2019**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

Cont.P. (MD)No.1158 of 2019

in

WA (MD)No.342 of 2015

1.The General Manager,
Rep. by M/s.Tamil Nadu Industrial
Development Corporation Limited,
19A Rukamani Lakshmipathy Road,
Egmore, Chennai - 600 008.

2.The AMRL Hi Tech City ltd.,
Rep. by its Executive Officer,
Nanguneri Taluk
Tirunelveli District.

: Petitioners/Respondents 3 to 4
Vs.

Muthupandian @ Moses : Contemnor/Respondent

PRAYER: Petition is filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the contemnor herein for having committed wilful disobedience of the order passed by this Court in W.A.(MD)No.342/2015 dated 26.10.2018.

Prayer in WA(MD).No.342/ 2015 :

Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letter patent against the order dt.04.09.2014 in WP(MD)No.13370 of 2013.

Prayer in WP(MD)No.13370 of 2013:

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of Certiorarified mandamus to call for the records connected with the impugned order of the 1st Respondent in Na.Ka.No.5177/13 dated 2.5.2013 and consequential impugned order of the 2nd Respondent dated 27.06.2013 in Ka.No.386/1066/11/13 and quash the same and consequently to forbear the Respondents from in any manner demolishing the construction made by the writ petitioner in A.B.S. No. 7/1 in Puliyurkurichi village.



For Petitioner

: Mr.M.Vijayan for
M/s.King @ Patridge

For Respondent

:Mr.S.Srinivasaraghavan

ORDER

Alleging that the order of this Court has not been complied with, the petitioner is before this Court with the present contempt petition.

2. This Court passed the following order on 16.09.2019:

"Mr.S.Srinivasaraghavan, learned counsel takes notice for the respondent. On instructions, he submitted that the orders passed by this Court on 26.10.2018 will be complied with and report compliance within four weeks. Post this matter on 14.10.2019 for reporting compliance."

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the wife of the first respondent, viz., Stella Pandian handed over possession of the entire property on 03.10.2019 to the second petitioner. The learned counsel for the petitioner, therefore, submitted that the order passed by this Court, on 26.10.2018, directing the property to be handed over, has been complied with.

4. Mr.S.Srinivasaraghavan appearing on behalf of the respondent submitted that the superstructure has been demolished and the possession of the property has been handed over to the second respondent.

5. Recording the submissions made on either side, no further orders need to be passed in this contempt petition. Accordingly, this contempt petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AD II)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

RR

+1 CC to M/s.S. SRINIVASA RAGHAVAN, Advocate (SR-91836[F] dated 15/10/2019)

Order made in
Cont.P. (MD)No.1158 of 2019

Dated: 14.10.2019

KM/ (25.10.2019) 2P 2C