



Arulappan (Died)

11 Thiraviyadhas
Janakan (Died)

12 Yesodha,
Surendran (Died)

13 Madhusoodharan

14 Sujatha

15 Chandrika

16 Hemalatha

17 Ambujakshan

18 Thomas

19 Kunjammal

20 Vijayakumar

21 Nesamony

22 Muthunayagam

23 Alankarathammal

24 Rajamony

25 Santha

26 Rani

27 Bhakthakumari

28 S.K.Shijukore

29 S.K.Shibukore

30 Ramaswamy

31 Mariya Rosammal

32 Merlin Anitha

33 Albin



35 John Peter

36 Baburaj

37 Alben

Robert Singh(Died).

38 Thanka Pilai

39 Ravi

40 Sasi

41 Jayarani

42 Lawrence ... Respondents 1-42/Respondents 1,5-7,9-
11,13,17,22,24,26,28-52,54-58/
Defendants 1,5-7,9-11 13,17,22,24,26,28-52,54-58

Prayer :-


Civil Revision petition is filed, under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, To set aside the Fair and Decreetal order dated 04.02.2019 passed in I.A.No.243 of 2016 in O.S.No.433 of 1978 on the file of the Principal District Munsif, Kuzhithurai.

ORDER:- This Civil Revision Petition is coming on for Clarification on this day and upon perusing the grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Mr.J.Anandhavalli, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Mr.S.S.Thesigan, Advocate for R3, none appeared either in person or by an Advocate (RR1,2 & R4 to R42) and this Court made the following order:

The matter is posted today under the caption for "Clarification" at the instance of the counsel for the 3rd respondent.

2. While passing the final order in CRP(MD).No. 1290 of 2019, on 04.10.2019, none appeared for the respondents.

3. Today, Mr.S.S. Thesigan, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the third respondent would submit that the 5th respondent died, but, his LRs are not impleaded in the Civil Revision Petition. He filed a memo regarding the details of LRs , however, all these facts are not brought to the knowledge of this Court at the time of passing final order i.e., on 04.10.2019. Apart from that, the order passed by this Court is also not going to affect, in any way, the LRs of the 5th respondent. This Court is of the view that LRs can very well be impleaded in the final decree proceedings. Therefore, there is no need for clarification in this regard.



4. The learned counsel appearing for the third respondent vehemently argued for the LRs of the 5th respondent, but, he was not even appeared when the matter was called on 04.10.2019 and bring all these facts. Therefore, there is failure on the part of the third respondent to bring out all these facts, when the order was passed. Therefore, there is no clarification is required in the order, dated 04.10.2019, passed by this Court in CRP(MD).No. 1290 of 2019.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS III)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

TO

The Principal District Munsif, Kuzhithurai.

+ 1 CC to M/s. J. Anandhavalli, Advocate, SR. No.92895.

ORDER DATED : 18/10/2019

=====

ORDER

=====

CRP(MD). No.1290 of 2019

Giving direction and etc.
as stated within.

KK/SAR/05.11.2019/4P-3C/