



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 19/07/2019

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.RAJAMANICKAM

CRL OP(MD) . No.10169 of 2019

S.Maha Bharathi

... Petitioner/Accused No.4

Vs

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Silaiman Police Station,
Silaiman,
Madurai District.
(Crime No.200 of 2019).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.S.Krishnan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.V.Neelakandan,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C


PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.200/2019 on the file of the Respondent police

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294 (b), 323, 324, 307, 506 (ii) and 427 of IPC, in Crime No.200 of 2019, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in the above case. He further submitted that already co-accused in this case was granted bail by this Court in CRL OP(MD) NO. 7836 of 2019. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent has submitted that due to some wordy quarrel, the petitioner along with other accused persons attacked the victim with deadly weapons. He further submitted that two previous cases are pending against the petitioner under Section 307 of IPC and 188 of IPC. He further submitted that the investigation is still pending and the custodial interrogation is absolutely necessary. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. This is the second application seeking anticipatory bail. The previous application seeking anticipatory bail in Crl.OP(MD)No.8810 of 2019 was dismissed by this Court on 27.06.2019.

5. Taking into consideration of the submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor that two previous cases are pending against the petitioner and also the fact that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is absolutely necessary and also the fact that the petitioner has not pointed out any change of circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-
19/07/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, SILAIMAN POLICE STATION, SILAIMAN, MADURAI DISTRICT.
- 2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.10169 of 2019
Date :19/07/2019

dss
JMN/VR/SAR-2/25.07.2019/2P-3C