



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 26.04.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.PUGALENDHI

H.C.P. (MD) No.1671 of 2018

Nagajothi

... Petitioner

-vs-

1.The Principal Secretary to the Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009

2.The District Collector and District Magistrate
Tiruchirappalli District, Tiruchirappalli

3.The Superintendent of Prison
Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of habeas corpus calling for the records pertaining to the impugned detention order passed by the 2nd respondent made in his proceedings in Cr.M.P.No.36 of 2018, dated 11.11.2018 in detaining the detenu under Section 2(f) of the Tamilnadu Act 14 of 1982 as a Goonda and quash the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu namely Vignesh, son of Rajendran, male, aged about 21 years, who is detained in Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.M.Karunakaran

For Respondents : Mr.M.Chandrasekaran
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

[Order of the Court was made by B.PUGALENDHI, J.]

The second respondent clamped an order of detention on 11.11.2018, as against Vignesh, son of Rajendran, as the said Authority arrived at the subjective satisfaction that the said detenu is a 'Goonda' and he has to be detained under Section 3(1) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 with a view to prevent him from acting prejudicial to the interest of public health and public order.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

2. Challenging the order of detention, the mother of the detenu has come forward with the present habeas corpus petition.



3. Heard Mr.K.M.Karunakaran, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.M.Chandrasekaran, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents and carefully perused the records.

WEB COPY

4. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner would mainly focus his argument on the ground that there was non-application of mind on the part of the detaining authority. According to the learned counsel, in Paragraph No.5 of the impugned detention order, the detaining authority has stated that the detenu, who is in remand, has filed bail applications for Jeeyapuram Police Station Crime Nos.116 of 2018 and 2 of 2018 in Cr.M.P.Nos.4836 and 4837 of 2018 and the same are pending. However, the detaining authority has stated that there is a real possibility of the detenu coming out on bail by filing bail applications for the above cases before the appropriate court. Thus, according to the learned counsel, there is non-application of mind on the part of the detaining authority.

5. As rightly contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the detenu has filed Cr.M.P.Nos.4836 and 4837 of 2018 seeking bail in respect of Jeeyapuram Police Station Crime Nos.116 of 2018 and 2 of 2018 and the same were pending, however, the detaining authority, in the impugned detention order, has held that there is a real possibility of the detenu coming out on bail by filing bail applications for the above said cases before the appropriate court. Even in the vernacular order, the same averment has been made. That apart, the detaining authority has not given any material to show that there is every likelihood of the detenu being released on bail in the cases cited supra. Thus, on this sole ground alone, the impugned detention order is liable to be set aside.

6. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Cr.M.P.No.36 of 2018, dated 11.11.2018, passed by the second respondent, is set aside. The detenu, namely, Vignesh, son of Rajendran, aged about 21 years, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

/ True Copy /

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)



krk
To:

- 1.The Principal Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai-600 009.
- 2.The District Collector and District Magistrate,
Tiruchirappalli District, Tiruchirappalli.
- 3.The Superintendent of Prison,
Central Prison, Tiruchirappalli.
4. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law & Order) Department,
Fort.St.George, Chennai -9.
- 5.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

H.C.P. (MD) No.1671 of 2018
26.04.2019

ES/15.05.2019/3P/6C