



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Third day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice B.PUGALENDHI

CRL OP(MD) No.22972 of 2018

1 PANDIYAN
2 KALIYAMMAL
3 POONKODI

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED NO.1 TO 3

Vs

STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THENI EOW.,
CRIME NO.914 OF 2018

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : No Appearance

For Respondent : MR.A.ROBINSON, Government Advocate (Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police / Inspector of Police, Theni EOW, in connection with Crime No.914 of 2018, has filed the present criminal original petition seeking anticipatory bail.

2. When the matter came up for hearing in the earlier occasion, it was represented on behalf of the respondent police / Inspector of Police, Theni EOW, that no such case was pending as against the petitioner and therefore, this Court was inclined to dismiss the petition. But, it appears that the petitioner has wrongly mentioned the name of the respondent as Inspector of Police, Theni EOW, instead of Inspector of Police, Theni. Therefore, this matter is listed today under the caption 'for clarification'.

3. When the matter is taken up for consideration today, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), on instructions, submitted that this petitioner is involved in Crime No.914 of 2018 on the file of Theni Police Station and since the respondent's name is wrongly mentioned, it was represented on the other day that there is case pending as against the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) further submitted that the petitioner had moved an anticipatory bail petition before the Sessions Court, in Crl.M.P.No.15 of 2019 for the case registered against him on the file of the Inspector of Police, Theni, in Crime No.914 of 2018 and the learned Sessions Judge has also granted anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. In view of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), no further order is required to be passed in this criminal original petition and the same is accordingly, dismissed.

sd/-
23/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THENI EOW.

2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22972 of 2018
Date :23/01/2019

JM/VR/SAR 3/01.02.2019/2P/3C