



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Fourth day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.22586 of 2018

1 S.RAJASEKAR

2 S.SUNDHARAM

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED NO.1 & 2

Vs

STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ERIDU POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.391/2018)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.A.CHANDRAKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 324 & 506(i) of IPC, in Cr.No.391 of 2018 seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioners way laid the defacto complainant and uttered him in filthy language and assaulted by their hand and caused injuries.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that a false case has been foisted against them and they had nothing to do with the alleged crime.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submits that the defacto complainant sustains only simple injury.

5.Taking into consideration the facts of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vedasandur, Dindigul District, condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a



sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

04/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VEDASANDUR, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ERIODU POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.A.CHANDRAKUMAR Advocate SR.No. 248

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.22586 of 2018

Date :04/01/2019