



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Tenth day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.22327 of 2018

MARUDHUPANDI

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED
SINGLE

Vs

THE STATE THROUGH
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SHANARPATTI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
Crime No.545 of 2018

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr.K.GUNASEKARAPANDIAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner/sole accused, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 & 506(i) IPC and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act in Crime No.545 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner scolded the defacto complainant and her husband by using filthy language, assaulted and also threatened them.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he had nothing with the alleged crime. Hence, anticipatory bail may be granted to him.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that in this case, injured has been discharged from the hospital and investigation is going on.

5.Considering the fact that injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions:-



6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, No.III, Dindigul and on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that

[a] if the petitioner failed to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.00 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
10/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO III,
DINDIGUL.

<https://hcservices.mca.gov.in/hcservices/>
2. THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.



3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SHANARPATTI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr.K.GUNASEKARAPANDIAN Advocate SR.No.618

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.22327 of 2018

Date :10/01/2019

TK/VR/SAR-1/22.01.2019/3P/6C