



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Eighth day of July Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.RAJAMANICKAM

CRL OP(MD) No.9636 of 2019

1 KATHAPILLAI

2 MANIKANDAN

3 RENGANATHAN

4 YOGANANTHAM @ LOGANATHAN

5 BHUVANESHWARAN

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.1 TO 5

Vs

STATE REP. BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KATTUPUTHUR POLICE STATION,
TRICHY DISTRICT.

(IN CRIME NO. 114 OF 2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.K.ARUNRAJ Advocate

For Respondent : Mrs.M.ANANTHA DEVI, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294 (b), 323, 324 and 506 (ii) of IPC, in Crime No.115 of 2019, seek anticipatory bail.

2. Heard both sides.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has submitted that even as per the FIR, due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant and criminally intimidated him. He further submitted that the injured sustained only simple injuries and also he was discharged from the hospital and therefore, he prayed anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent has submitted that the petitioners have assaulted the defacto complainant and criminally intimidated him and hence, she



opposed this petition. However, she fairly conceded that the injured sustained only simple injuries and he was already discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the fact that the injured person sustained only simple injuries and he was also discharged from the hospital and also the fact that no previous case is pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Musiri, Trichy District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled.

(ii) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required before the respondent police for interrogation.

(iii) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iv) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(v) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

(vi) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

08/07/2019

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
MUSIRI, TRICHY DISTRICT

2 DO-THROUGH :
THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TRICHY DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KATTUPUTHUR POLICE STATION,
TRICHY DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.K.ARUNRAJ Advocate SR.No. 11240

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.9636 of 2019

Date :08/07/2019

JM/VR/SAR 1/15.07.2019/3P/6C