



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 09/07/2019

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.RAJAMANICKAM

CRL OP(MD) . No.9484 of 2019

Mohanraman,,

... Petitioner/Accused
(Rank not known)

Vs

State rep.by
The Inspector of Police,
Thattarmadam Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
(Cr.No.98/2019).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.R.Ponkarthikeyan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Ms.Anantha Devi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory bail in Cr.No.98 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 379 r/w, 511 of IPC in Crime No.98 of 2019, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence and he has been falsely implicated in the above case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent has submitted that the petitioner has attempted to



commit sand theft. Hence, she opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, she conceded that the petitioner has no previous case and the vehicle used for committing the offence was recovered.

4. Taking into consideration of the fact that the petitioner has no previous case, also the fact that the vehicle used for committing the offence was recovered and the petitioner has only attempted to commit sand theft, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner by imposing certain conditions.

5. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, Thoothukudi District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police, daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
09/07/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SATHANKULAM, THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

2 DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICAIL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THATTARMADAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.R.PONKARTHIKEYAN, Advocate (SR-11343[I] dated
09/07/2019)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.9484 of 2019

Date :09/07/2019

VS

AE/JC/SAR-II (18.07.2019) 3P 6C