



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 04.03.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P.(MD)No.5029 of 2019

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.4008 of 2019

P.Praskash

... Petitioner

Vs

1.The District Collector,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.

2.The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.

3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Tenkasi,
Tirunelveli District.

4.The Tahsildar,
Sivagiri Taluk,
Tirunelveli District.

5.The District Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Marketing
Corporation Ltd., (TASMAC),
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.

6.Anandharaj

7.Mathivanan

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondent 1 to 4 to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 26.12.2019 seeking to take action as against the respondents 6 and 7 and consequently restrain the respondents 1 to 4 to close down the TASMAC Shop bearing No.10666 functioning at Door No.78, S.No.919/6, Chellapandi Thottam, Vadugapatti Village, Rayagiri Panchayat, Sivagiri Taluk, Tirunelveli District.

For Petitioner


For R1 to R4

: Mr.R.J.Karthick

: Mr.M.Rajarajan

Government Advocate

:Mr.B.Jameel Arasu

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner and the learned Government Advocate appearing for R1 to R4 and Mr.B.Jameel Arasu, learned counsel appearing for R5.

2.The writ petitioner is working as Supervisor in the petition mentioned TASMACH Shop.

3.The petitioner's grievance is that the respondents 6 and 7 have engineered local agitation for closing down the shop in question.

4.According to the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation to the authorities and the petitioner wants this Court to direct the authorities to consider the same.

5.I am of the view that the petitioner has no *locus standi* whatsoever to maintain this writ petition. The petitioner is an employee in the TASMACH shop in question and if it is closed down, the petitioner will be accommodated elsewhere. If the petitioner is having some difficulties in the discharge of official duties, he can always bring the same to the notice of the Superior and it is for the Superior to take up the matter at the appropriate level. This writ petition cannot be entertained.

6.The writ petition is dismissed as not maintainable. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

To

1.The District Collector,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.

2.The Superintendent of Police,
Tirunelveli,
Tirunelveli District.

<https://hccs.courts.madras.gov.in/>
3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Tenkasi,
Tirunelveli District.



4.The Tahsildar,
Sivagiri Taluk,
Tirunelveli District.

+1 CC to M/s.SPL GP (SR-51751[F] dated 05/03/2019)

+1 CC to M/s.R.J.KARTHICK, Advocate (SR-51578[F] dated 05/03/2019)

+1 CC to M/s.B.JAMEEL ARASU, Advocate (SR-51680[F] dated 05/03/2019)

RMI

W.P.(MD)No.5029 of 2019

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.4008 of 2019

04.03.2019

_KM/ (25.03.2019) 3P 8C