



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 30.04.2019

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.KALYANASUNDARAM
and
THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE R.THARANI

W.P.(MD).No.1715 of 2019
and
W.M.P.(MD).Nos.1467 to 1469 of 2019

A.Rengan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department,
No.119, Uthamar Gandhi Salai,
Nungambakam,
Chennai 600 034.

2. The District Collector,
Trichy District,
Trichy.

3. The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department,
Tiruchirapalli Division,
Uthamarkovil Complex,
Pithchandar Kovil,
Trichy District.

4. Sri Ranganathaswamy Temple,
represented by its Executive Officer,
Vellithirumutham Village,
Srirangam Taluk,
Trichy District.

... Respondents

Prayer:- Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the impugned eviction notice issued by the fourth respondent temple dated nil and consequently forbear the respondents from evicting the petitioner except following the provisions of the Tamil Nadu Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Act, 1959.



For R1 to R3 : Mr.V.R.Shanmuganathan
Special Government Pleader
For R4 : Mr.M.Saravanan

ORDER

WEB COPY

(Order of the Court was made by **K.KALYANASUNDARAM, J.**)

Heard Mr.M.Ajmal Khan, learned Senior counsel for Mr.M.Mahaboob Athiff, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mr.V.R.Shanmuganathan, learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 and Mr.M.Saravanan, learned counsel for the fourth respondent.

2. The petitioner has come forward with this writ petition challenging the eviction notice issued by the third respondent, based on the Circular of the Commissioner, H.R. & C.E. Department.

3. The matter was taken up to the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal Nos.3461 to 3501 of 2018. The Hon'ble Apex Court allowed the Civil Appeals by observing that the tenants could be evicted only under the provisions of Tamil Nadu Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Act, 1959. The relevant paragraphs which run thus:

"13. Section 77 of the Act, 1959 deals with transfer of lands appurtenant to or adjoining religious institutions prohibited except in special cases. Section 78 deals with encroachment by persons on land or building belonging to charitable or religious institution or endowment and the eviction of encroachers. Section 79 deals with mode of eviction on failure of removal of the encroachment as directed by the Joint Commissioner. Section 79-A deals with encroachment by groups of persons on land belonging to charitable religious institutions and their eviction. Section 79-B deals with penalty for offences in connection with encroachment. Section 79-C deals with recovery of moneys due to religious institutions, as arrears of land revenue. Section 80 deals with eviction of lessees, licensees or mortgagees with possession in certain cases. Section 81 provides for an appeal against Joint Commissioner or the orders of Deputy Commissioner passed under Section 80. Section 82 provides for payment of Compensation. Section 83 deals with constitution of Tribunal. Section 84 deals with suits against the award. Section 85 provides for protection of action taken under Chapter VII of the Act, 1959.

14. As mentioned above, the controversy, which is the subject matter of these appeals, is governed by the provisions of the Act, 1959. It is not in dispute that the respondents did not resort to the remedies provided to them



under the Act against any of the appellants. In other words, it is not in dispute that the action taken by the respondents, which was impugned by the appellants in the writ petitions before the High Court, was not taken under the Act, 1959.

15. It is for this reason, we are inclined to allow these appeals, set aside the impugned order and grant liberty to the respondents to take recourse to the remedies provided to them against the appellants individually in relation to the controversy raised by them in these proceedings.

16. Needless to say, we have not gone into the merits of the claim raised by the appellants whether individually or / and severally. The respondents will, therefore, be at liberty to proceed in the matter in question against the appellants individually strictly in accordance with law uninfluenced by any observations made by this Court. "

4. In the light of the above judgment, the petitioner is entitled to succeed in this writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is allowed on the same terms and conditions. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar ()

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

To

1. The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Department,
No.119, Uthamar Gandhi Salai,
Nungambakam, Chennai 600 034.
2. The District Collector,
Trichy District, Trichy.
3. The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department,
Tiruchirapalli Division, Uthamarkovil Complex,
Pithchandar Kovil,
Tiruchirapalli District.



+1 CC to M/s.AJMAL ASSOCIATES, Advocate (SR-64462[F] dated 30/04/2019)

+1 CC to M/s.M.SARAVANAN, Advocate (SR-64875[F] dated 02/05/2019)

+1 cc to The Special Government Pleader Sr.No.65194

akv

W.P. (MD) .No.1715 of 2019
30.04.2019

_KM/ (24.05.2019) 5P 7C