



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 06.06.2019

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **V.BHARATHIDASAN**

W.P. (MD) No.12726 of 2019

M. Rakku

: Petitioner

Versus

1.The District Collector,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

2.The Tahsildar,
Manamadurai Taluk,
Sivagangai District.

3.The Superintendant of Police,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Manamadurai Police Station,
Sivagangai District.

5.K. Karuppasamy

6.A. Krishnan

: Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to take necessary action on the basis of the petitioner's representation dated 27.05.2019 with regard to participate and celebrating the temple festival in his village viz., Madakaruppan and Muniyandi Swamy Temple i.e situated at Seenimadai Village, Milaganur Post, Manamadurai Taluk, Sivagangai District to be held on 07.06.2019.

For Petitioner : Mr. D. Anbarasu

For Respondents : Mr.Suyambulinga Baharathi

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

O R D E R

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents to take necessary action on the basis of the petitioner's representation, dated 27.05.2019 with regard to participate and celebrating the temple festival in his village



viz., Madakaruppan and Muniyandi Swamy Temple i.e situated at Seenimadai Village, Milaganur Post, Manamadurai Taluk, Sivagangai District to be held on 07.06.2019.

2. Heard both sides.

WEB COPY

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that he is having right to conduct the festival in the above said temple, but, the respondents, Police preventing him from conducting the festival. Hence, he made a representation dated 27.05.2019 and the same was not considered so far. In such circumstances, the petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition for the above said relief.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering fact that the matter is relating to civil dispute between the petitioner and the respondents 5 and 6, the respondents 1 to 4 is not having any right to intervene the civil right of the parties concerned. Hence, the request made by the Writ Petitioner cannot be considered.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. However, it is always open to the petitioner to approach the appropriate forum for his remedy. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (cs-II)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

trp


To

1.The District Collector,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

2.The Tahsildar,
Manamadurai Taluk,
Sivagangai District.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Manamadurai Police Station,
Sivagangai District.



5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc To M/S.D.Anbarasu, Advocate, SR.No.67675

+1cc To M/s.C. Prabakar, Advocate, SR.No. 67119.

WEB COPY

BUC (19/06/2019) 3P/8C

Order made in
W.P. (MD) No.12726 of 2019
Dated: **06.06.2019**