



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Nineteenth day of March Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM
and
The Hon`ble Mrs.Justice R.THARANI

WMP(MD) No.3108 of 2019
IN
WP(MD) No.8644 of 2018

RAJMOHAN, ... PETITIONER/PROPOSED 5TH RESPONDENT

Vs

1.SUBRAMANIYAN, ...1ST RESPONDENT/PETITIONER

2 STATE REP. BY,
THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
RAMANATHAPURAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

3 STATE REP. BY,
THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
O/O.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICE,
RAMANATHAPURAM.

4 STATE REP. BY
THE TAHSILDAR,
TALUK OFFICE,
RAMANATHAPURAM.

5 STATE REP. BY
THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER,
O/O. BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICE,
MANDAPAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT. ... RESPONDENTS 2 TO 5/1ST TO
4TH RESPONDENTS

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein
and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court will be pleased
to Implead the petitioner/proposed 5th respondent as 5th respondent
in the above case in W.P.(MD).No.8644 of 2018 and thus render
justice.

**Prayer in WP(MD) . 8644/ 2018 :**

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to proceeding Na.Ka.P9/11801/2017 dated 28.03.2018 issued by the 3rd respondent and quash the same as illegal and consequently forbearing the 3rd respondent from disposing the petitioner from S.No.298/10 to an extent of 15 feet cents situated in Pattanamkathan Village, Pattanamkathan Group, Ramanathapuram Taluk .

ORDER : This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.J.M.HASSANUL BAZARI, Advocate for the petitioner, the court made the following order:-

This application is filed to implead the petitioner as 5th respondent in the Writ petition.

The learned Counsel for the petitioner would state that he is the necessary and proper party in this writ petition. It seems that based on the order passed in the writ petition, the respondents have initiated eviction proceedings. Even though the petitioner has filed this application to implead the petitioner as 5th respondent in the writ petition, this Court is of the opinion that this petition deserves dismissed.

Accordingly, this impleading application is dismissed.


sd/-
19/03/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.I)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
RAMANATHAPURAM,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
2. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
O/O.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICE,
RAMANATHAPURAM.
- 3.THE TAHSILDAR,
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
TALUK OFFICE,
RAMANATHAPURAM.



4 THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER,
O/O. BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICE,
MANDAPAM, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

WEB COPY

ORDER
IN
WMP (MD) No.3108 of 2019
IN
WP (MD) No.8644 of 2018
Date :19/03/2019

TK/PN/SAR-I/26.03.2019/4P/5C