



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 28.06.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.9111 of 2019

Ponnusamy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Kollidam Police Station,
Trichy District.

3.The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Kollidam Police Station,
Trichy District.

4.Velanganni

5.Sumathi

...Respondents


PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., to direct the third respondent thereby not to harass the petitioner in the case of bias enquiry with the retribution motivation of the fourth and fifth respondents as well as pleased to give a direction to the first respondent to initiate a department enquiry as against the third respondent herein for his willful act as conducted *Katta Panchayat* at Kollidam Police Station, Trichy District.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Dhanasekaran

For R1 to R3 : Mr.K.Suyambulinga Bharathi
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/> This petition has been filed to direct the third respondent police not to harass the petitioner.



2. The grievance of the petitioner is that there is a money dispute between the petitioner and the fourth respondent. Pursuant to the same, based on the complaint given by the fourth respondent, the respondent Police is harassing the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner has filed the present petition seeking a direction to the respondent police not to harass the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondents.

4. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the official respondents, on instructions, would submit that on the complaint given by the fourth respondent an enquiry has been conducted, and as the dispute between the parties relates to some money transaction, after enquiry, the complaint has been closed by the third respondent as 'civil dispute'. He would further submit that there is no intention to the respondent police to harass the petitioner.

5. Considering the fact that the complaint lodged by the fourth respondent has been enquired and closed by the respondent police, no further order is required in the present petition. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AD-II)

/ True Copy /

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS-)

To

1.The Superintendent of Police, Trichy District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Kollidam Police Station, Trichy District.

3.The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Kollidam Police Station, Trichy District.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.P.DHANASEKARAN,Advocate(SR-72293[F] dated 28/06/2019)

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.9111 of 2019
28.06.2019