



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Third day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.894 of 2019

REGU,

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED
(RANK NOT KNOWN)

Vs

STATE: THOUGH
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VELLICHANTHAI POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.05/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.KA.RAAMAKRISHINAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 295(A), 506(ii) of IPC in Cr.No. 5 of 2019 seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 13.01.2019 at about 05.30 p.m when the defacto complainant was walking on the road, the petitioner along with some other persons came and quarrelled by saying that they should not enter into their village for preaching christianity and also forcibly made Hindu marks on their foreheads.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has nothing to do with the alleged offence. He would further submit that the injured has also been discharged from the hospital.

4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) would submit that the petitioner along with some other persons came and quarrelled by saying that they should not enter into their village for preaching christianity and also forcibly made Hindu marks on their foreheads

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

5. Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the



submissions by learned counsels, this Court inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Eraniel, Kanyakumari District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
23/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /


Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
ERANIEL, KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

2. DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
VELLICHANTHAI POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.



4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR.KA.RAAMAKRISHINAN Advocate SR.No.1340

WEB COPY

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.894 of 2019

Date :23/01/2019

aav

AE/VR/SAR2/24.01.2019/3P/6C