



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Fourth day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.890 of 2019

RAGURAMAN

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.4

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED THROUGH,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KODAIKANAL POLICE STATION,
KODAIKANAL,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(CR.NO.5/2019)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.M.KANNAN, Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Government Advocate(Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Cr.No.5 of 2019, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute, the accused attacked the defacto complainant and caused injury.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

5. Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions by learned counsels and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kodaikkanal on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner failed to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
24/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KODAIKANAL, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KODAIKANAL POLICE STATION, KODAIKANAL,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.



4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

WEB COPY

+1. CC to MR.M.KANNAN Advocate SR.No.1434

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.890 of 2019

Date :24/01/2019

am

PK/JC/SAR-3/01.02.2019 : 3P/6C