



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Third day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.887 of 2019

1 S.JOHN CHRISTOPHER

2 S.PAZHANI SAMPATH

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED
1 and 2

Vs

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KARUNGAL POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
Crime No.14/2019

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : Mr.P.SAM JAPA SINGH Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are accused Nos.1 & 2, apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 379 of IPC r/w. Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals Act (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 registered in Crime No.16 of 2019, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the respondent police while he was on patrol duty, he intercepted the vehicle, which was illegally transported Red Sand, without any valid permit. On complaint, case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case and that therefore, they may be granted anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the State submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners. He further submitted that if the person is caught with



illegal sand in the mining area, he has to be directed to deposit the conditional amount to the credit of Crime number. However, in view of formation of District Mineral Foundation Trust in each district, the amount may be deposited to the credit of the said Trust for rehabilitation in the illegal sand mining affected areas.

WEB COPY

5. In view of the rehabilitation undertaken by the State Government under the Tamil Nadu District Mineral Foundation Rules, 2017, and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities and also considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioners are directed to make a non refundable deposit of Rs.2,500/- (Rupees Two Thousand Five Hundred only) to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust, without prejudice to their rights and contentions.

6. It is made clear that the deposit of the amount by the petitioners to the Trust would not amount to admission of guilt. The trial Court shall deal with the case independently on merits without reference to the amount deposited at the stage of anticipatory bail.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side), this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Eraniel on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) if the petitioners failed to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled.

(ii) the petitioners shall make a non refundable deposit of Rs.2,500/- (Rupees Two Thousand Five Hundred only) to the credit of the Chairman/District Collector, District Mineral Foundation Trust of the concerned District, without prejudice to their defence before the trial Court and thereafter, the learned Judicial Magistrate shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioners;

(iii) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.



(iv) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(v) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

WEB COPY

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

(vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
23/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ERANIEL.
 - 2.THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL.
 - 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KARUNGAL POLICE STATION, KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
 - 4.THE CHAIRMAN/DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
DISTRICT MINERAL FOUNDATION TRUST,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
 - 5.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- +1. CC to Mr.H.ELANGO Advocate SR.No.42353

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.887 of 2019
Date :23/01/2019

TK/JC/SAR-1/28.01.2019/3P/7C