



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27/06/2019

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.RAJAMANICKAM

CRL OP(MD) . No.8810 of 2019

S.Maha Bharathi

... Petitioner/Accused No.4

Vs

State Rep.by

The Inspector of Police,
Silaiman Police Station,
Silaiman, Madurai District.
(Crime No.200 of 2019).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s. S. Krishnan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.V.Neelakandan
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.200 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147,148,294)b),323,324,307,,(ii) and 427 of IPC seeks anticipatory bail.

2. Heard both sides.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the above case. He further submitted that already co-accused in this case was granted bail by this Court in Crl.O.P(MD) No.7856 of 2019. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that due to some wordy quarrel the petitioner along with others attacked the victims with deadly weapons. He would further submit that two



previous cases are pending against the petitioner under Sections 307 of IPC and 188 of IPC. He would also submit that investigation is still pending and custodial interrogation is absolutely necessary. Hence he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Since two previous cases are pending against the petitioner the petitioner cannot claim parity with A5. Taking into consideration of the aforesaid facts and also the submission of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, the custodial interrogation is absolutely necessary, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Hence the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

sd/-

27/06/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SILAIMAN POLICE STATION,
SILAIMAN, MADURAI DISTRICT.
2. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.8810 of 2019

Date :27/06/2019

MS/PN/SAR-4/04.07.2019/2P.3C