



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twentieth day of June Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.RAJAMANICKAM

CRL OP(MD) No.8197 of 2019

1 ADIMAI @ ANTHONI ADIMAI
2 CHANDRASEKAR
3 SATHISH @ SANTHIYA SATHIS
4 KENNADI
5 AMSTRONG

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.1,2,6,9 & 13

Vs

STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PAMBAN POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.31/2019

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.A.KARTHIK Advocate

For Respondent : MR.V.NEELAKANDAN, Additional Public Prosecutor


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who is arrayed as A1, A2, A6, A9 & A3, apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 341, 294 (b), 506 (ii) & 307 of IPC, in Crime No.31 of 2019, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that as per the FIR, on 27.04.2019, at about 01.00 p.m., due to the previous enmity between the defacto complainant and the accused persons, the accused persons attacked the defacto complainant and his relatives indiscriminately and the defacto complainant's brother's wrist was amputated. He further submitted that originally, on the date of occurrence, the defacto complainant and her relatives only developed quarrel against the petitioners. He further submitted that due to the personal vendetta, the defacto complainant lodged a false complaint against the petitioners, and hence, he prayed anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

1. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has submitted that similar type of case is pending against all the petitioners and the



defacto complainant's wrist was amputated by attacking. He further submitted that the investigation is at the preliminary stage and he strongly opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that similar type of case is pending against all the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Hence the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

sd/-
20/06/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PAMBAN POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
- 2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8197 of 2019
Date :20/06/2019

JM/VR/SAR 3/28.06.2019/2P/3C