



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 12/06/2019

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.RAJAMANICKAM

CRL OP(MD) . No.7982 of 2019

1. Parthiban,
2. Sumati,
3. Rajangam,

... Petitioners/Accused NoS.1 to 3

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Sempatti Police Station,
Dindigul.
Crime No.148/2019.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.R.Velmurugan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.V.Neelakandan,
Additional Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime no.148/2019 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b) and 323 of IPC r/w Section 4 of Woman Harassment Act, seek anticipatory bail.

2.Heard both sides.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has submitted that due to civil dispute, a false case has been foisted against the petitioners. He further submitted that no one sustained any injury and hence, he prayed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor fairly conceded that



the civil dispute is already pending between the parties and only there was a wordy quarrel between the parties. However, he strongly opposed this petition.

5. Taking into consideration of the aforesaid submission, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Dindigul and the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required before the respondent police for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

sd/-
12/06/2019

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
DINDIGUL.

2 DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SEMPATTI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to MR.R.VELMURUGAN, Advocate (SR-9552[I] dated 13/06/2019)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.7982 of 2019

Date :12/06/2019

sji

AE/PN/SAR-I (19.06.2019) 3P 6C