



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.07.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR

REV.APLC(MD)No.75 of 2019

- 1.The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Ltd., Bye Pass Road,
Madurai-10.
- 2.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Ltd., Virudhunagar Region,
Virudhunagar.
- 3.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Madurai) Ltd., Virudhunagar Region,
Virudhunagar. .. Review Applicants/Appellants

Vs.

- 1.The Labour Inspector,
137, Kanthapuram Street,
Virudhunagar.
- 2.D.Pandi .. Respondents/Respondents

Prayer in Rev.Apl.C(MD).No.75/2019 :


Review Application is filed under Section 114 read with Order 47 Rule 1 of C.P.C to review the order passed in W.A.(MD) No.990 of 2016 dated 11.09.2018.

Prayer in WA(MD). 990/ 2016 :

Writ Appeal is filed under Clause 15 of Letter Patent Act against the order dated 19.01.2016 made in M.P.(MD) No.2 of 2013 in W.P(MD) No.11211 of 2013.

Prayer in MP(MD). 2/ 2013 in WP(MD).11211/2013 :

Miscellaneous Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Hon'ble Court to Interim Stay to all further proceedings in Pa.Mu.No.A/2382/2012 dated 11.03.2013 on the file of the 1st respondent.



Prayer in WP(MD). 11211/ 2013 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorari calling for the records in Pa.Mu.No.A/2382/2012 dated 11.03.2013 on the file of the 1st respondent and quash the same.

WEB COPY

For Applicant : Mrs.S.Srimathy

For Respondents : Mr.V.Ajoykhose

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.M.Sundresh,J.)

Admittedly, what has been challenged before us on the earlier occasion in W.A.No.990 of 2016 was to the correctness of the interim order passed in M.P.No.2 of 2013 in W.P.(MD) No.11211 of 2013 on 19.01.2016.

2. We have passed a speaking order while upholding the order of the learned single Judge. There is no error apparent with the order of the learned single Judge. However, in view of the apprehension expressed by the learned counsel for the review petitioner, we make it clear that the order passed by us was the one confirming the interim arrangement and therefore, nothing prevents the parties to put their contentions in the main writ petition with respect to the correctness of the order under challenge.

3. Accordingly, there is no ground made out for reviewing the order passed by this Court in W.A.(MD) No.990 of 2016 on 11.09.2018 and the review application stands dismissed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

To
The Labour Inspector,
137, Kanthapuram Street,
Virudhunagar.

+1cc to M/s.S.Srimathy, Spl.Govt. Pleader, SR.No. 76589

REV.APLC (MD) No.75 of 2019
19.07.2019