



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Fourth day of June Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.RAJAMANICKAM

CRL OP(MD) No.7443 of 2019

RAVI

... PETITIONER /2nd ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KADAYANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.175/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr.A.MOHAMED HANEEF Advocate

For Respondent : Mrs.M.ANANTHA DEVI, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 379 (Sand Theft) of IPC in Crime No. 175 of 2019, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. Heard both sides.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that even as per the FIR, the petitioner has transported sand in two gunny bags worth about Rs.500/-. The petitioner is not having any bad antecedent and hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent has submitted that the petitioner was transporting sand having two gunny bags in a motor cycle without any valid permission. She further submitted that already one case is pending against the petitioner under TNPPDL Act. However, she fairly conceded that no similar type of case is pending against the



5. Considering the quantity of the sand involves in this case and the value of the sand is only Rs.500/- and no similar type of case is pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tenkasi on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) If the petitioner fail to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled.

(ii) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required before the respondent police for interrogation.

(iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iv) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(v) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

(vi) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

04/06/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TENKASI.

2 TO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI.



3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KADAYANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.A.MOHAMED HANEEF Advocate SR.No. 9143

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.7443 of 2019

Date :04/06/2019

JM/MMS/SAR 3/10.06.2019/3P/6C