



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Thirtieth day of May Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP (MD) No.7441 of 2019

S.SATHAIAH,

... PETITIONER / 2nd ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY
THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARANTHANGAI POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.229/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.T.LENIN KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as accused, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Section 379 IPC r/w Sections 21(1) and 21(2) of the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, in Crime No.229 of 2019, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and other accused are alleged to have involved in transporting one unit of sand illegally by using his vehicle. Thus, on complaint, a case has been registered against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle. Further he added that the stolen properties have been recovered and the investigation is not yet completed.



5. The submissions made by the learned counsel on either side are considered. It is alleged that at time of occurrence, without getting any permission from the appropriate authority the petitioner and other accused by using his vehicle had stolen away the river sand to the tune of one unit. As of now, the property, which was used for commission of offence was recovered. Hence, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary for completing the investigation. However, since the offence committed by the petitioner is against environment conditions, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with stringent condition.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aranthangi, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) The petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) to the credit of Crime No.229 of 2019 before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Aranthangi, without prejudice to his defence before the trial Court;

(ii) the petitioner as well as the sureties shall submit a copy of their Aadhar Card or any other identity cards issued by the Government, in proof of their address, along with the surety bonds;

(iii) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., for a period of three weeks thereafter as and when required for the purpose of interrogation;

(iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(iv) the petitioner shall co-operate for the investigation and the trial. The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial and in event of the petitioner abscond, the respondent police shall register a case as provided under Section 229-A IPC;

(v) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs.



State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-
30/05/2019

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ARANTHANGI.

2. DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.

3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARANTHANGAI POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to MR.T.LENIN KUMAR, Advocate (SR-8986[I] dated 30/05/2019)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.7441 of 2019

Date : 30/05/2019

AE/VR/SAR-III (31.05.2019) 3P 6C