



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Second day of May Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs.Justice S.RAMATHILAGAM

CRL OP (MD) No.7387 of 2019

1.ANANDASELVAN,
2 MEGALAN,

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED
(RANK NOT KNOWN)

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SATHANKULAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.95 OF 2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.R.PONKARTHIKEYAN Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.M.ANANTHA DEVI,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners who apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 341, 294 (b), 323 & 506(ii) IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act in Cr.No.95 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that there was a civil dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant with regard to sharing of water to their agriculture land and due to the same, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant and also attacked him with wooden log. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the



respondent police would submit that the defacto complainant sustained only simple injury.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the defacto complainant sustained only simple injury, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, Thoothukudi District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
22/05/2019

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SATHANKULAM, THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

2. DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SATHANKULAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to MR.R.PONKARTHIKEYAN, Advocate (SR-8705[I] dated 22/05/2019
)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.7387 of 2019

Date :22/05/2019

gns/sji

AE/VR/SAR-IV (27.05.2019) 3P 6C