



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Second day of May Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs.Justice S.RAMATHILAGAM

CRL OP (MD) No.7279 of 2019

1 SURESH
2 LENSON

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.1 & 2

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KALAKAD POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.209 OF 2019.

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners: Mr.K.SUDALAIYANDI, Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.M.ANANTHA DEVI, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323,324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Cr.No.209 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioners restrained the defacto complainant, attacked the defacto complainant and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he is an innocent person.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that injured is still undergoing treatment.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured is undergoing treatment, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



6. Hence this anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

sd/-
22/05/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

WEB COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KALAKAD POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
2. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7279 of 2019
Date :22/05/2019

MS/VR/SAR-3/27.05.2019/2P.3C