



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Second day of May Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs.Justice S.RAMATHILAGAM

CRL OP (MD) No.7277 of 2019

VEERANNAN

... PETITIONER/A-1

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY,
THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NATHAM POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.290/2019)

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.A.R.KANNAPPAN, Advocate

For Respondent : MRS.M.ANANTHADEVI, Government Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 147 and 506 (i) of I.P.C., in Cr.No.290 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that due to pathway dispute, the petitioner and other accused threatened the defacto complainant with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that injured has already been discharged from the hospital and she would submit that it is a case in counter case.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that injured has already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Natham, Dindigul District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 p.m., until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
22/05/2019

/ TRUE COPY /


Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NATHAM, DINDIGUL DISTRICT

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

2. DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT



3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
NATHAM POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.A.R.KANNAPPAN Advocate SR.No.8693

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.7277 of 2019

Date :22/05/2019

SJI/GNS

PK/JC/SAR-3/24.05.2019 : 3P/6C