



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Fourth day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.71 of 2019

1 MAHESWARI
2 THARMAR

... PETITIONERS/ ACCUSED No.
2 and 3

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SANKARANKOVIL TALUK POLICE STATION,
SANKARANKOVIL,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
Crime No.259 of 2018

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : Mr.T.INDRACHITHU Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused 2 & 3, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b) & 506(ii) IPC r/w Section 3 of Tamil Nadu Public Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that on 29.12.2018, the petitioners along with A-1 came to the defacto complainant's petty shop, removed three pillars of the shop, abused him in filthy language and also made a life threat.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they had nothing with the alleged crime. Hence, anticipatory bail may be granted to them.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that in this case, the defacto complainant may be compensated for the damaged caused by the petitioners and investigation is going on.



5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions:-

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sankarankovil, Tirunelveli District and on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that

[a] if the petitioners failed to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the first petitioner/2nd accused shall deposit a sum of Rs.6,000/- (Rupees six thousand only) to the credit of Cr.No.259 of 2018 on the file of the respondent Police; the second petitioner/3rd accused shall deposit a sum of Rs.3,000/- (Rupees three thousand only) to the credit of Cr.No.259 of 2018 on the file of the respondent Police.

[c] The petitioners report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
04/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SANKARANKOVIL, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

2. THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SANKARANKOVIL TALUK POLICE STATION,
SANKARANKOVIL, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr.T.INDRACHITHU Advocate SR.No.195

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.71 of 2019
Date :04/01/2019

TK/VR/SAR-2/18.01.2019/3P/6C