



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Eighteenth day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.686 of 2019

1 KOTTAISAMY @ KOTTAI MUNEESWARAN
2 KALEESWARAN
3 RAJAGURU
4 PALANISAMY

... PETITIONERS/ ACCUSED
No. 1 to 4

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ERIYODU POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
Crime No.6/2019

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : Mr.D.BALAMURUGAPANDI Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(ii) of IPC in Cr.No.6 of 2019 seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to some dispute, the petitioners along with others abused the defacto complainant, assaulted him and threatened him with dire consequences.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have nothing to do with the alleged offence. He would further submit that the injured sustained by the defacto complainant is simple in nature

4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) would submit that the defacto complainant sustained simple injury.



5. Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions by learned counsels and that the injured sustained by the defacto complainant is simple, this Court inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Veda sandhur, Dindigul District on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioners fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
18/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VEDASANDHUR, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.



2.THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ERIYODU POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4.THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr.D.BALAMURUGAPANDI Advocate SR.No.889

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.686 of 2019
Date :18/01/2019

TK/VR/SAR-1/23.01.2019/3P/6C