



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Fourth day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.64 of 2019

1.SUKUMAR
2 RAJENDRAN
3 PRAKASH
4 PALKUMAR

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED 1,2,4 & 5

Vs

THE STATE BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MEGNANAPURAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
(IN CRIME NO. 131 OF 2018)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.C.SUSI KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused 1, 2, 4 & 5, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 294(b), 323, 324 & 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.131 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that while the defacto complainant was celebrating the New Year, the petitioners and other accused, under the influence of alcohol, entered into a quarrel and attacked the defacto complainant and caused simple injuries.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and they had nothing with the alleged crime. Hence, anticipatory bail may be granted to them.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that in this case, injured has been discharged from the hospital and investigation is going on.



5. Considering the fact that injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions:-

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam and on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that

[a] if the petitioners failed to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
04/01/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SATHANKULAM.

2. DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT



3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MEGNANAPURAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.

4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR.C.SUSI KUMAR Advocate SR.No.266

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.64 of 2019
Date :04/01/2019

AE/PN/SAR4/09.01.2019/3P/6C