



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Ninth day of April Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.5597 of 2019

1 BALACHANDAR
2 BALAMURUGAN
3 LINGAMMAL
4 MUTHUMUNIYAMMAL
5 MURUGESAN

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED
1 to 5

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SOORANGUDI POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
Crime No.36 of 2019

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : Mr.V.MALAIYENDRAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 447, 294(b) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No. 36 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the marriage was solemnized between the first petitioner and the defacto complainant they have no issues. After some year the the first petitioner along with others started harassing the defacto complainant demanding more dowry, thereby she tried to commit suicide. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have not demanded any dowry as alleged by the prosecution and hence he prayed for anticipatory bail.



4. The learned Government Advocate(Crl.Side) appearing for the state would submit that the first petitioner harassed the defacto complainant demanding more dowry and he would also submit that investigation is pending.

WEB COPY

5. Taking into consideration the fact and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that there are serious allegations against the first petitioner, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the first petitioner and accordingly, this petition is dismissed in respect of the first petitioner.

6. As regards the petitioners 2 to 5 they are only in-laws and they have been implicated in this case, this Court is of the view that, some indulgence can be shown to them and therefore, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to petitioners 2 to 5.

8. Accordingly, the petitioners 2 to 5 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Vilathikulam on condition that the petitioners 2 to 5 shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners 2 to 5 and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners 2 to 5 shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners 2 to 5 shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners 2 to 5 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners 2 to 5 in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners 2 to 5 released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)



[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

sd/-
29/04/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S-II)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VILATHIKULAM.
 2. THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
 3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SOORANGUDI POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
 4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- +1. CC to Mr.V.MALAIYENDRAN Advocate SR.No.7671

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.5597 of 2019
Date : 29/04/2019

TK/PN/SAR-2/29.04.2019/3P/6C