



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Fifteenth day of April Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.5555 of 2019

1 ARUNACHALAM
2 RAVI
3 SUNDAR
4 SUBBIAHA

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.1 TO 4

Vs

THE STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SEETHAPARPANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
(IN CRIME NO.48 OF 2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : Mr.P.MUTHUPANDI Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI Govt. Advocate
(Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 341, 294 (b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Cr.No.48 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the accused persons are relatives of the defacto complainant and brother of the defacto complainant. On the date of occurrence, when the defacto complainant and his brother were travelling near the house of the third petitioner, the petitioners herein are waylaid the defacto complainant and his brother and attacked the defacto complainant by iron rod, due to that, the defacto complainant and his brother sustained injury on head and foreleg.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have nothing to do with the alleged offence.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that injured has been discharged from the hospital



5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that the injured had been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ambasamudram, Tirunelveli, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
15/04/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
AMBASAMUDRAM, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SEETHAPARPANALLUR POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4 ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to Mr.P.MUTHUPANDI Advocate SR.No.6743

PS/JC/SAR-2/16.04.2019/3P/6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.5555 of 2019

Date :15/04/2019