



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twelfth day of April Two Thousand Nineteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.5197 of 2019

1 THIRUGNANAM,
2 MARIMUTHU,
3 MURUGA NATHAN,
4 VINAYAGA MOORTHY,
5 KANTHAN,
6 KALEESWARAN,
7 MUTHULINGAM,
8 THAVA MURUGAN @ THAVA MURUGA NATHAN
9 RAMKUMAR,
10 NATHAN @ VELNATHAN,
11 SHANMUGANATHAN,
12 PANDI @ SENTHUR PANDI,
13 MURUGAN @ MURUGA NATHAN
14 MUTHU MURUGAN,

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY ITS
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KOVILANKULAM P.S., RAMNAD DT.
(CRIME.NO.18 OF 2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : Mr.M.S.JEYAKARTHIK Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI Govt. Advocate
(Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 147, 148, 448, 427, 294(b), 323, 324, 307 and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 3(1) of TN Public Property (Prevent of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992 in Crime No.18 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and other accused trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant. Thereafter, the petitioners and other accused abused the defacto complainant with filthy language and assaulted him with Aruval. Thereby, the defacto complainant sustained injuries and was admitted in hospital. On the basis of the complaint lodged by the defacto



complainant, the respondent police registered a case against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they have nothing to do with the alleged offence.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that injured has been discharged from the hospital and he would further submit that no previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the injured had been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

12/04/2019

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
KAMUTHI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KOVILANKULAM P.S., RAMNAD DT.

4 ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR.M.S.JEYAKARTHIK Advocate SR.No.6661

PS/MMS/SAR-3/22.04.2019/3P/6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.5197 of 2019

Date :12/04/2019