



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Tenth day of January Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.462 of 2019

C.PRAHALATHAN

... PETITIONER /SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE, REP. BY,
INSPECTOR OF POLICE
GUDALUR SOUTH POLICE STATION,
THENI DISTRICT
(Crime No. 4/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.D.RAMESHKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner/sole accused, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 4 of Women Harassment Act in Crime No.4 of 2019, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner made verbal sexual harassment to the defacto complainant by using filthy words.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he had nothing with the alleged crime. Hence, anticipatory bail may be granted to him.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that since the offence is a bailable one, anticipatory bail cannot be granted.

5.Considering the fact that the offences under the Women Harassment Act are bailable in nature, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



6. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

sd/-
10/01/2019

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
GUDALUR SOUTH POLICE STATION,
THENI DISTRICT
- 2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

+1. CC to MR.D.RAMESHKUMAR Advocate SR.No.653

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.462 of 2019
Date :10/01/2019

MSI/PN/SAR-IV/22.01.2019-2P/4C