

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Sixteenth day of April Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP (MD) No.4583 of 2019

1.ANTONY
2 AMBETKAR
3 SELVAM
4 MUTHUMARI

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NOS.1 TO 3,5

Vs

THE STATE:

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERUNAZHI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.23/2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : MR.KA.RAAMAKRISHNAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.S.CHANDRASEKAR,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 147,148, 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC in Cr.No.23 of 2019 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that there was a dispute arose between the petitioners and the defacto complainant with regard to barking of dogs, thereby, the petitioners threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that investigation is pending.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the allegation levelled against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioners are are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, Ramanathapuram District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only)each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioners 1 to 3 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders and fourth petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f)if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
16/04/2019

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KAMUTHI, RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

2. DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.


3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERUNAZHI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to MR. KA. RAMAKRISHNAN, Advocate (SR-7064[I] dated
23/04/2019)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP (MD) No. 4583 of 2019
Date : 16/04/2019

AE/JC/SAR-II/23.04.2019/3P/6C



WEB COPY