



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Sixth day of March Two Thousand Nineteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.DHANDAPANI

CRL OP(MD) No.4522 of 2019

MANIKANDAN

... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

STATE THROUGH,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MEIGNANAPURAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO. 16 OF 2019)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR. C. SUSIKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR. K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Government Advocate(Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Cr.No.16 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that due to money dispute between the parties, the petitioner abused using filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant. Thereby, the defacto complainant sustained injuries and was admitted in hospital. On the basis of the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, the respondent police registered a case against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has nothing to do with the alleged offence.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that injured has been discharged from the hospital.



5. Considering the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the injured had been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sathankulam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

26/03/2019

/ TRUE COPY /


Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
SATTANKULAM, TUTICORIN DISTRICT

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TUTICORIN

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
MEIGNANAPURAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHURUDI DISTRICT.



4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR. C. SUSIKUMAR Advocate SR.No.5668

WEB COPY

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.4522 of 2019

Date :26/03/2019

MSI/PN/SAR 3/27.03.2019/3P-6C